

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/792,061	03/02/2004	Scott D'Avanzo	087635.000017	4209
29747 7590 10/16/2007 GREENBERG TRAURIG 3773 HOWARD HUGHES PARKWAY			EXAMINER	
			THOMAS, ERIC M	
SUITE 500 NORTH LAS VEGAS, NV 89169			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
End vedico,			3714	
	:			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/792,061	D'AVANZO, SCOTT			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
,					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Eric M. Thomas ears on the cover sheet with the c	3714 orrespondence address			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au	<u>ıgust 2007</u> .				
,-					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 26-30</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6 and 26-30</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	1				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informat Patent Application 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 3714

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

In response to the amendments filed on 8/15/07, claims 1 and 26 have been amended, claims 7-25 and 31-48 have been cancelled, claims 1-6 and 26-30 are pending in the current application.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 8/15/07 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 - 6 and 26 - 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Seelig et al. (US 6,609,972).

Regarding claims 1 and 26 Seelig teaches a gaming machine (and method having steps thereto) which consists of a mechanical component (i.e., the combination

Art Unit: 3714

of indicator 44 attaching to worm gear 52, Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 5 - 12), wherein the mechanical component having a dynamic member (indicator 44) for facilitating the indication of a display device (e.g., 46, 48), and wherein upon activation of the mechanical component of the said dynamic member (indicator 44) causes the display device to be identified (e.g., pointing to or identifying the displays 46 and 48), said display device (46, 48) displaying a randomly generated gaming machine symbol related to a gaming machine award (Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 55 - col. 4, line 18). Note that, the final prize result of Seelig is concealed prior to the activation of the mechanical component and being revealed after the activation of the mechanical component (col. 4, lines 19, col. 5 line 8). Seelig does not explicitly teach the mechanical component concealing the display device, and the dynamic member causing the display device to be revealed upon the activation of the mechanical component. This feature, however, is an obvious design choice since mechanically concealing the display (having a symbol related to an award) (as claimed by the applicant) compared to displaying a concealed symbol (related to an award) electrically or graphically (as taught by Seelig et al.) would bring similar results to the operation of the game, i.e., both Seelig et al.'s and applicant's approaches are to conceal and reveal the symbol related to the award in respond to the bonus game activation. Thus, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the system of Seelig by providing an alternative method of mechanically concealing and revealing the symbol related to a gaming machine award to enhance the reality of the game, thus attract more players and increase game revenue.

Art Unit: 3714

Regarding claims 2, 3, 27, and 28, Seelig teaches the mechanical component (i.e., the combination of indicator 44 attaching to worm gear 52, Fig. 2, col. 4, lines 5 - 12) comprises one or more hands (e.g., hands of the alien pilot) extending from the said gaming machine and dynamic member comprises one or more arms joined to the said hands (col. 4, lines 1 - 4).

Regarding claims 4 and 29, Seelig teaches a player is awarded the opportunity to select one or more of the hands to reveal the symbol related to a gaming machine award, which means the player is given the opportunity to select either the left bonus prize or the right bonus prize (col. 4, lines 19 - 31).

Regarding claims 5 and 30, wherein upon selection of one or more of the arms, the corresponding hand opens to reveal the display device, this limitation is obvious in view of Seelig's teaching of the bonus display (12) displaying the bonus prize won by the player upon selection of the right or left bonus prize (col. 4, lines 32 - 35). Further, regarding the hand opens to reveal the display device, this feature is regarded as obvious design choice as set forth above regarding claims 1 and 16 because using the hand to conceal the display (having a symbol related to an award) (as claimed by the applicant) compared to pointing to the display having a graphically concealed symbol (related to an award) (as taught by Seelig) would bring similar results to the operation of the game, meaning both Seelig and applicant's approaches are to conceal and reveal the symbol related to the award in respond to the bonus game activation. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the prize display the mechanical indicator of Seelig by mechanically

Art Unit: 3714

concealing and revealing the symbol related to a gaming machine award to enhance the reality of the bonus indicator, thus make the game more interesting to the players and increase game plays.

Regarding claim 6, wherein the one or more hands support a watch having a display, this is a design choice since placing a watch on one or more hands as claimed does not bring unexpected results to the outcome of game. Note that, the watch as claimed is considered as a decorative device since it does not bear any function of the game.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 8/15/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant alleges that Seelig "fails to teach or suggest a method of mechanically concealing and revealing the bonus symbol as claimed in the present invention." The examiner respectfully disagrees. However, as discussed above, Seelig provides a gaming device having a gaming apparatus and a bonus display in which the display is an indicator that is linearly movable by a drive mechanism. The advantage of Seelig's gaming machine is that the award bonus is graphically concealed which provides an attractive and entertaining device for the participants. This approach is similar to that of the claimed invention in being that they both have the same objective, which is to conceal and reveal the prize awarded to the user of the gaming machine by using a mechanical indicator. Thus, the examiner maintains Seelig renders the claimed invention obvious.

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/792,061

Art Unit: 3714

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric M. Thomas whose telephone number is (571) 272-1699. The examiner can normally be reached on 7a.m. - 3p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pezzuto can be reached on (571) 272-6996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ROBERT E PEZZUTO SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER